2 to court

ORIGINAL

33 12-26-60 SC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JIBRIL KOITA, GLADWIN WILSON, OMARI MAHER, SALEH SHERIF, CELIO DE LA CRUZ, and ANH LE,

Petitioners

σ.

JANET RENO,

Respondent

: 1:CV-00-0070

: (Judge Caldwell)

MARY D'ANDREA, CLERK

MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME NUNC PRO TUNC

AND NOW COMES Mary Catherine Frye, attorney for respondent, and requests that this Court grant respondent a three day enlargement of time in which to respond to petitioner's petition, nunc pro tunc, and in support thereof states:

- Petitioner Koita filed a petition to reopen this case on or about November 14, 2000, on the grounds that respondent had failed to provide the file review required by the Court's October 6, 2000, Order.
- 2. The Court issued an Order on November 29, 2000, directing the Clerk to reopen the case and directing respondent to file a response within twenty days. Said response was due on December 19, 2000.
- 3. The undersigned was called out of town on a family emergency

on December 15, 2000, and did not return to the office until December 20, 2000. As a result, the undersigned inadvertently failed to file the required response in a timely manner.

- 4. Petitioner Koita received the file review ordered by the Court on October 19, 2000. See Exhibit A.
- 5. The undersigned has been informed by Kent Frederick,
 District Counsel, Immigration and Naturalization Service,
 that Mr. Koita has been notified of the respondent's
 decision to continue detention.
- 6. Petitioner has not been prejudiced by the three day delay in filing the within response.
- 7. Petitioner has already received the relief he seeks before this Court.
- 8. Because petitioner is proceeding pro se, counsel has not sought his concurrence in this request.

WHEREFORE, respondent respectfully requests that the Court accept the respondent's response nunc pro tunc.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID M. BARASCH

United States Attorney

MARY CATHERINE FRYE

Assistant U.S. Attorney

228 Walnut Street

Harrisburg, PA 17108

(717) 221-4482

Dated: December 22, 2000

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JIBRIL KOITA, GLADWIN WILSON,

OMARI MAHER, SALEH SHERIF,

CELIO DE LA CRUZ, and ANH LE,

: 1:CV-00-0070

: (Judge Caldwell)

Petitioners

:

.

:

JANET RENO,

:

Respondent

RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITION TO REOPEN

Petitioner Jibril Koita filed a petition to reopen this case on or about November 14, 2000, on the grounds that respondent had failed to provide the file review required by the Court's October 6, 2000, Order. The Court issued an Order on November 29, 2000, directing the Clerk to reopen the case and directing respondent to file a response within twenty days. Said response was due on December 19, 2000.

Counsel for respondent was called out of town on a family emergency on December 15, 2000, and did not return to the office until December 20, 2000. As a result, the undersigned inadvertently failed to file the required response in a timely manner. Simultaneously herewith, respondent has requested a three day enlargement of time in which to file the within

response.

Petitioner Koita claims that the government has:

failed to comply with the order of this honorable court, that states that I.N.S. grant the petitioner a review in accordance with the procedures discussed in CHI THON NGO V. INS. Thirty days have passed with out [sic] the petitioner receiving any notification neither by certified mail or return receipt stating all specify decision to release or the condition of the petitioner release.

Petition, at 1.

It is not clear from this passage whether Mr. Koita is claiming that he did not receive a file review or that the review he received was somehow deficient.

Mr. Koita received the file review ordered by the Court, including a personal interview, on October 19, 2000. See Exhibit A. The undersigned has been informed by Kent Frederick, District Counsel, Immigration and Naturalization Service, that Mr. Koita has been notified of the respondent's decision to continue detention. As is clear from the Custody Review Worksheet (Exh. A), Mr. Koita received a thorough review and ample opportunity to present his case. Accordingly, the respondent has complied with the requirements of this Court's Order of October 6, 2000.

For the reasons set forth above, respondent respectfully requests that the within petition be denied and that the file be closed.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID M. BARASCH United States Attorney

MARY CATHERINE FRYE Assistant U.S. Attorney 228 Walnut Street Harrisburg, PA 17108 (717) 221-4482

Dated: December 22, 2000

TAB - 1

INS DISTRICT COUNSEL

215 656 7148

POST ORDER CU_ODY REVIEW WORKSHEE OR FILE REVIEW AND/OR INTERVIEW

Detainee Name: KO		"A" Number: A74 190 504					
AKAs:	BOP Number:		I	Date of Birth:			
Country of Birth:	The Gambia		Citizenship:	The Gambi	K.		
Date of Arrival:	November 19	89	Place	of Arrival:	New York, NY		
Manner of Arrival:	Non-Imm		Last Date in	to INS Custo	ody:		
Entered INS Custody from:			Local, State, or Federal Institution Other				
Location: Allenwo	od Federal Pri	son		Institution	Number:		
Immigration Histor	y: (Prior INS a	rrest[s]/p	earole/bond/cu	astody inform	nation)	٠	
Describe:	Subject came	into INS	custody from	Allenwood	Federal Prison.		
Deportation Officer	Rvan Morris		Date	of Review:	10/19/2000	·	
-		Deisas			10/10/2000		
Location Detained.	Tork County I	TISON		,			
Deportation/Exclusion	on/Removal Pr	roceedin	gs				
List all Charges:	Section Section	1 212 (a) 1 241	(1),(A),				
Under Final O	rder dated	by 🔲 Ц	□ BIA 🛛	Other (//	o Final Order)		
Appeal Waive	d/Appeal Time	Elapsed					
Fravel Document Sta No travel document have	as been request	ed from 1	the Gambia d	ue to the fact	that the subject has no	t	

DEC-12-2000 12:19

INS DISTRICT COUNSEL

215 656 7148 P.03/07

	Sec. 1			
	Leg	gal Representative /	Attorney	
G-28 Filed:	Yes 🔲	No		
Notification of In	nterview Made	Yes 🔯	N/A by:	on:
Name of Represe	ntative / Attor	ney: Steven Mor	ley	
-	: The Bourse Number: (21	e Bldg., 11 S. Independe 5)922-5354	ence Mall East Phila	PA 19106
Present during in	nterview:	Yes	⊠ No	
Criminal Histo	ory			
Outside the Unite		ted, scatence imposed, date,	and country)	
In the United Sta	tes: Yes	;		
NCIC Checks:		Criminal History Attack (State and Federal)	ned No rec	ord Found
	Impersonation;	s: 12/5/94-Petit Larcer 12/29/97-Crim Imperso		
	Instit	utional / Disciplina	ry Record	
Did the detainee l	nave prior Disc	iplinary Reports?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
If Yes, List	& Describe:			
Source:				
Disciplinary repo	rts and Incider	nts while in INS Custoo	iv? 🗀 Yes	□No

Describe:

Some College

Does the detainee have any vocational training?

Describe: Subject stated that he is good with computers, but no formal training is known.

DEC-12-2000 12:20

INS DISTRICT COUNSEL

215 656 7148 P.05/07

Medical/Psychological Concerns

Medical/Psychological Report / Summary:	Attached	☐ None	Not Available	
Date and Source:				
Other documentary evidence for considera	tion in this rev	iew:	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

Discussion at Interview

Notes: This writer and the Subject discussed his convictions at length. Subject stated that the nature of his first arrest was he was shoping during the holidays, and after spending between \$400 and \$500 he walked out of the store and the alarm went off. The Subject stated that it was his belief the the pants that were not paid for were put in the bag by the clerk by mistake. The Subject claims that he was in no way responsible for this.

As for the second arrest, the subject claims he found a credit card and attempted to use it. The subject takes full responsibility for this action.

Subject claims that on his third arrest he was in a car accident with his friend's car. The police were called and when he gave the police the registration, the owner of the car's drivers license was in the envelope, and he was charged with impersonating someone else.

On his fourth arrest the subject claims that he thought that he was obtaining a "real" credit card, and did not know that fraud was involved. He backed this statement up by saying he used his true name address and social security number.

DEC-12-2000 12:20

INS DISTRICT COUNSEL

·215 656 7148 P.06/07

The INS detained was four <u>CREDIBLE</u> <u>NOT CRL BLE</u>
Explain: It is this writers opinion that it is possible for this scheme of events to happen to someone, but finds that it is highly unlikely that someone is arrested on four different occasions and have been wrongly accused by the authorities three times.

Officer Comments/Analysis & Recommendation

It is this writer's recommendation that the subject be held pending his removal hearing. A decision has been made by the Institutional Hearing Program Director of Allenwood, PA that the subject was not eligible for a bond, and this was affirmed as documented in a decision by Immigration Judge Durling.

It is this writer's opinion that the subject should remain in custody as ordered by the IHP Director, and Immigration Judge Durling.

/0/19/0 • Date:		
Date:		
16/19/co Concar		

P 07/07

DISTRICT _RECTOR'S CUSTODY DETL_MINA

				2			
	RELEASE FROM CUST	ODY / ORDER	OF SUPERV	ISION			
	RELEASE FROM CUST	CODY / ORDER	OF SUPERV	ISION UNDER BO	DND		
	Bond Amount:						
X	CONTINUE IN CUSTODY / SCHEDULE FOR REVIEW IN SIX MONTHS						
Comm	nents (attach additional she	cci(s) if necessar	y):				
	vistrict Office: ture of District Director:	Phi	la, PA	DAMPOP	Date: 10/19/		
	HEADQUARTER'	S REVIEW (OF CONTIN	IUED DETENT	TON		
Reviev	wing Officers		Concur	Reconsider	Date		
	(Name, Title, Signature)						
	(Name, Title, Signature)						
	(Name, Title, Signature)						

For comments, please refer to the "Headquarters Post Order Custody Review" form.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that she is an employee in the Office of the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Pennsylvania and is a person of such age and discretion to be competent to serve papers.

On this 22^{nd} day of December, 2000, she served copies of the foregoing document by placing said copies in postpaid envelopes addressed to the persons hereinafter named, at the addresses stated, which are the last known addresses of petitioners, and by depositing said envelopes and contents in the United States Mail at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania to:

Jibril Koita
A74 190 504
York County Prison
3400 Concord Road
York, PA 17402

Maher Omari A76 766 772 Snyder County Prison 600 Old Colony Road Selinsgrove, PA 17870

Sherif Kamel Saleh A29-734-408 Snyder County Prison 600 Old Colony Road Selinsgrove, PA 17870 Gladwin Wilson A41 928 716 Snyder County Prison 600 Old Colony Road Selinsgrove, PA 17870

Anh Trung Le
A27 748 801
Snyder County Prison
600 01d Colony Road
Selinsgrove, PA 17870

KATHY ENDERS Legal Secretary